

Academia and Industry: An Essential Alliance for a Sustainable Future

Bikram Keshari Agrawalla^{a*} , and Susanta Banerjee^{b*} 

^aRoche Diagnostics GmbH, Penzberg, DE-82377, Germany.

^bMaterials Science Centre, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur - 721302, India.

*Correspondence: bikram.keshari_agrawalla@roche.com; susanta@matssc.iitkgp.ac.in

For centuries, the collaboration between academia and industry has driven mutual progress, with academic innovations transforming into products and services through industrial channels. This symbiotic relationship has empowered both sectors to achieve their goals, fueling academic research to address real-world challenges and inspiring groundbreaking solutions. Industry, in turn, gains access to cutting-edge technologies and a highly skilled workforce. Through this partnership, technological advancement, entrepreneurship, and workforce development are accelerated.¹ Now, the time has come for this influential alliance to transform to collaborative ecosystem, where the mutual benefit combines harmoniously with broader positive impacts on the surrounding environment or community. Both academia and industry must embrace sustainability as a core principle in guiding innovation and practices. By combining their strengths, they can optimize resources, minimize waste, and create more environmentally friendly solutions. In doing so, academia and industry can help shape a sustainable future that benefits laboratories, the environment, and society as a whole.²

1. Academia's Responsibility

A. Research and Knowledge Creation

Institutions, scholars and academics must prioritize environmental, social, and economic sustainability in their research efforts. This includes integrating sustainability principles into curricula, fostering interdisciplinary collaborations, and promoting innovations that address global challenges like climate change, biodiversity loss, and socio-economic inequality.³ Moreover, academia has the responsibility to ensure that research outcomes contribute meaningfully to the public good and are effectively communicated to policymakers and the wider public. Universities should also set a leading example by embedding sustainable practices into their operations, such as reducing carbon footprints, conserving resources, and supporting the development of green technologies.⁴ By emphasizing the interconnectedness of ecological, social, and economic systems, academia can guide sustainable development and inspire responsible decision-making. Ultimately, academia must embody the values of responsible stewardship, advancing knowledge that benefits both society and the planet.

B. Education and Capacity Building

Academia holds a significant responsibility in advancing sustainability through education and capacity-building. Universities and educational institutions are key in preparing students with the knowledge and skills necessary to address global sustainability challenges. This involves integrating sustainability principles across various disciplines, fostering critical thinking, and cultivating a deep understanding of the interconnectedness of environmental, social, and economic systems.⁵ Additionally, academia must support research that drives innovative solutions to urgent issues such as climate change and resource depletion. Educational institutions should also empower communities through lifelong learning initiatives, equipping professionals and policymakers to adopt and implement sustainable practices.⁶ By promoting sustainability literacy, fostering a sense of global citizenship, and creating an

environment where sustainability is central to decision-making, academia can drive transformative change. Ultimately, education has the power to prepare future leaders to build a sustainable and equitable future for all.

Bikram Keshari Agrawalla has been with Roche Diagnostics GmbH since 2018, serving in the Department of Protein Chemistry-I within the Division of Rare Reagent Development. His work focuses on advancing immunoassay performance and enhancing diagnostic detection limits. Prior to joining Roche, Bikram gained three years of postdoctoral research experience at Ulm University and the Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research in Mainz. He earned his PhD in Chemistry from the National University of Singapore in 2015 and completed his master's degree at the National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), Kolkata, in 2009. With a strong background in both academia and industry, Bikram has contributed to numerous high-impact publications and patents in his field.



Susanta Banerjee has been with the Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur for over 19 years. He previously served as the head of the Materials Science Centre from May 2014 to May 2017 and is currently the Institute Chair Professor and Chairperson of Central Research Facility. Prior joining to IIT Kharagpur, he spent 14 years as a Scientist at DRDO and the GE India Technology Centre in Bangalore. He has been awarded the prestigious AvH fellowship from Germany and is a fellow of the WAST. Prof. Banerjee has supervised more than 30 doctoral and 45 master's theses in polymer and materials science and engineering. He has led numerous innovative projects at DRDO, GEITC, and IIT-Kharagpur, driven by his commitment to endorse future sustainability.



C. Bridging Theory and Practice

The responsibilities of bridging theory and practice to advance sustainability lays both on academics and industry. While academic research provides valuable theoretical frameworks, it is essential for institutions to ensure that this knowledge is effectively applied in real-world contexts.⁷ Universities must encourage collaboration among researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and local communities to develop practical solutions for sustainability challenges. This includes supporting interdisciplinary research that combines scientific, economic, and social perspectives to tackle critical issues such as climate change, resource management, and social equity.⁸ Academia can also form partnerships with industries, governments, and NGOs to implement sustainable practices across various sectors. Additionally, incorporating experiential learning opportunities, such as internships, field projects, and community-based research, into curricula helps students gain practical skills and knowledge. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, academia can drive impactful, evidence-based initiatives that contribute to a sustainable and equitable future.

D. Promoting Ethical Standards and Long-Term Thinking

Advancing ethical standards and promoting long-term thinking in sustainability is one of the pillar of education institutions. By integrating ethics into sustainability research and education, academic institutions ensure that decisions and actions prioritize social equity, environmental stewardship, and justice.⁹ Researchers are encouraged to consider the broader impact of their work, ensuring that innovations do not exploit or harm vulnerable communities or ecosystems. Additionally,

academia must emphasize the importance of long-term thinking, guiding students and professionals to prioritize the needs of future generations when addressing present-day challenges. This involves questioning short-term solutions and fostering a mindset that values enduring sustainability over immediate gains. Institutions should lead by example, embedding ethical practices into their operations, research funding, and collaborations. By promoting ethical decision-making and encouraging long-term perspectives, academia can ensure that sustainability efforts are responsible, inclusive, and aligned with the overarching goal of creating a just and resilient future for all.

2. Industry's Responsibility for Sustainability

A. Innovation in Green Technologies

Industry's role in advancing sustainability through innovation in green technologies is paramount. As major contributors to environmental impact, industries must prioritize the development and adoption of technologies that reduce resource consumption, minimize waste, and lower carbon emissions.¹⁰ By investing in renewable energy, energy-efficient solutions, and sustainable manufacturing processes, businesses can lead the transition to a more sustainable economy. Industry leaders should also foster a culture of research and development to create products and services with a smaller environmental footprint. Ultimately, by driving innovation in green technologies, industries can make a significant contribution to the global effort to combat climate change and ensure a sustainable future for generations to come.

B. Operational Sustainability

Industries have a major obligation to adopt sustainable operational practices to reduce their environmental impact and support the long-term ecological health of the planet. This involves optimizing resource use, minimizing waste, and lowering energy consumption across all business activities.¹¹ By re-optimizing existing processes with environmentally friendly solutions, companies can develop more sustainable products. Additionally, businesses must implement sustainable supply chain practices, such as responsibly sourcing raw materials, reducing carbon emissions, and promoting ethical labor standards. Industries should also adopt energy-efficient technologies, prioritize renewable energy, and work toward achieving zero-waste objectives. Water conservation and pollution control are equally vital in preserving natural resources. Transparent reporting on sustainability initiatives and progress promotes accountability and encourages continuous improvement. By embedding sustainability into their core operations, industries not only reduce their environmental footprint but also build long-term resilience, enhance their reputation, and increase profitability.

C. Circular Economy and Waste Reduction

Industries play an essential task in advancing sustainability by adopting circular economy principles and waste reduction practices. In a circular economy, companies design products with longevity, reusability, and recyclability in mind, minimizing waste and reducing dependence on raw materials.¹² Industries are responsible for shifting from traditional linear models of production and consumption to circular systems, where resources are continually reused, refurbished, or recycled. This approach requires rethinking product design, incorporating modular components, and using sustainable materials. Waste reduction strategies, such as minimizing packaging, optimizing production processes, and recycling industrial by-products, are essential for mitigating environmental impact. Additionally, companies can invest in closed-loop systems that allow waste to be reused within the production cycle. By embracing these practices, industries not only conserve natural resources but also reduce pollution and

decrease landfill waste. Ultimately, industries' commitment to circular economy principles is vital for creating a sustainable, resource-efficient future.

D. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)

Industries have a critical accountability to advance sustainability through Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). CSR involves incorporating ethical practices into business models to ensure positive social, environmental, and economic outcomes.¹³ Companies must address critical issues such as climate change, fair labor practices, community development, and responsible sourcing. By adopting sustainable business practices, industries can reduce their environmental impact, support local communities, and enhance overall societal well-being. CSR initiatives should prioritize transparency, accountability, and active engagement with stakeholders, including employees, consumers, and local communities. Furthermore, companies should invest in education, healthcare, and social equity programs to improve quality of life and promote economic stability. Through strategic investments and partnerships, businesses can contribute to long-term environmental and social sustainability. Ultimately, CSR enables companies to align their growth with broader societal goals, ensuring their operations not only generate profits but also contribute to a more sustainable and equitable world.

3. Bridges between Industry and Academia

A. Innovation Hubs and Research Centers

Collaboration between industry and academia within innovation hubs and research centers is crucial for advancing sustainability. These partnerships combine academic research expertise with industry's practical knowledge, enabling the development of sustainable technologies and solutions.¹⁴ Academia contributes cutting-edge scientific insights and drives innovation through interdisciplinary research, while industries offer real-world challenges and provide the means for implementation. Innovation hubs and research centers act as collaborative spaces where researchers, students, and industry professionals work together to address pressing issues such as climate change, renewable energy, and waste management. This collaboration accelerates the commercialization of sustainable innovations, ensuring that research remains relevant and impactful. Additionally, it fosters the exchange of knowledge, skills, and resources, helping to cultivate a new generation of sustainability-focused professionals. By providing a platform for diverse stakeholders to collaborate, innovation hubs and research centers play a vital role in driving transformative change, promoting responsible practices, and ensuring the development of sustainable solutions to global environmental, economic, and social challenges.

B. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) combine the strengths of both sectors to tackle complex sustainability challenges, including climate change, resource management, and social equity.¹⁵ Academia contributes through research, innovation, and data-driven solutions, while industry offers practical experience, funding, and the ability to scale sustainable technologies. These partnerships promote the development and implementation of sustainable practices and policies, benefiting both communities and the environment. PPPs also encourage knowledge sharing, capacity building, and the creation of new job opportunities in emerging green industries. Furthermore, they align public goals with private sector capabilities, fostering a balanced approach to sustainability. By collaborating through PPPs, industry and academia can pursue common objectives, driving innovation, reducing environmental impact, and enhancing long-term social and economic well-being. The success of these partnerships relies

on mutual trust, effective communication, and shared accountability to ensure lasting impact.

C. Data Sharing and Open Access

Data sharing and open access are essential for building trust and strengthening partnerships between industry and academia. The exchange of data between these sectors enables more informed decision-making and the creation of sustainable solutions.¹⁶ Academia provides valuable research findings, while industry offers real-world data, ensuring that solutions are based on both scientific insights and practical knowledge. Open access platforms further facilitate the sharing of crucial information, allowing researchers, policymakers, and industry professionals to freely access and utilize data for sustainable innovations. This collaborative approach speeds up the development of technologies, strategies, and policies that address global challenges like climate change, resource depletion, and environmental degradation. By promoting transparency and collaboration, data sharing and open access foster cross-sector partnerships, boost innovation, and ensure that sustainable solutions are scalable and widely adopted. Ultimately, this approach amplifies the collective impact of academia and industry in driving a more sustainable and equitable future.

D. Systems Thinking

Collaboration between industry and academia for sustainability through systems thinking involves recognizing the interconnections between environmental, social, and economic factors. Systems thinking enables both sectors to understand the broader implications of sustainability challenges and solutions, emphasizing the importance of holistic, long-term strategies.¹⁷ Academia provides the theoretical framework and research tools to analyze complex systems, while industry offers practical insights and real-world applications. By collaborating, both sectors can develop sustainable innovations that address various dimensions of challenges such as climate change, resource depletion, and social inequality. This approach promotes interdisciplinary research, fosters cross-sector dialogue, and encourages solutions that consider the entire lifecycle of products and processes.¹⁸ Systems thinking also supports the design of circular economies, where waste and resource consumption are minimized, and long-term impacts are prioritized. Ultimately, this collaboration strengthens sustainability efforts by ensuring that solutions are comprehensive, effective, and adaptable to evolving global conditions.

E. Systematic Framework for EHS, ESG Management

International Organization for Standardisation (ISO) certification provides a clear and organized approach to managing environmental, health, and safety (EHS) issues, helping both industry and academia work towards sustainability. It encourages continuous improvement, efficiency, and responsibility, making sure organizations follow global governance standards for sustainability.¹⁹

In industry, certifications like ISO 14001 (Environmental Management) and ISO 45001 (Health and Safety) help companies reduce their impact on the environment and keep employees safe. These standards guide businesses in cutting waste, saving energy, and reducing risks, while ensuring a safe working environment. By following these guidelines, companies show their commitment to sustainability and improve their operations, helping create a more sustainable future. In universities, ISO 14001 helps manage environmental impact by promoting waste reduction and energy savings. ISO 45001 ensures that campuses are safe and healthy for students, staff, and faculty. ISO 9001 (Quality Management) ensures high-quality research and academic programs, making sustainability research stronger and more impactful. By adopting ISO standards, universities can innovate and run more sustainably by using resources wisely and reducing waste.

Ultimately, a structured framework like ISO certification in both sectors strengthens the shared commitment to sustainability, guiding organizations and institutions toward a more efficient and ethical future for all.

Challenges and Barriers to Sustainability

Challenges and barriers to sustainability involve a variety of economic, political, social, and environmental factors that impede progress toward sustainable development.²⁰ These obstacles include financial limitations, political instability, weak regulations, public resistance, and a lack of awareness. Overcoming these challenges demands coordinated efforts across sectors, with an emphasis on policy reform, education, and promoting innovation. Tackling these issues is essential to achieving long-term environmental protection, social equity, and economic stability for future generations. While the importance of sustainability is widely acknowledged, both industry and academia face challenges in fulfilling their respective responsibilities.

A. Economic Constraints

Economic constraints present significant challenges to achieving sustainability. Limited financial resources often prevent organizations, especially in developing regions, from investing in sustainable technologies and practices. The high upfront costs associated with renewable energy, eco-friendly infrastructure, and sustainable supply chains may discourage businesses and governments from adopting green solutions. Additionally, economic pressures frequently prioritize short-term profits over long-term sustainability goals, resulting in the continued use of unsustainable practices. Smaller businesses, in particular, may struggle with the financial burden of implementing sustainable initiatives, creating disparities in the adoption of green technologies. Moreover, economic constraints can limit funding for research and innovation in sustainability, hindering the development of new solutions. These challenges are further exacerbated by global economic inequalities, where wealthier nations and corporations are better positioned to lead sustainability efforts, leaving poorer regions behind.²¹ Overcoming these barriers requires policy incentives, international cooperation, and investment in green technologies to make sustainability financially viable and accessible to all.

B. Political and Regulatory Barriers

Political and regulatory barriers pose significant challenges to achieving sustainability. In many regions, inconsistent or weak environmental policies hinder progress toward sustainable practices. Governments may prioritize short-term economic growth over long-term environmental objectives, leading to delays or compromises in regulatory measures. Political instability and a lack of political will can further prevent the implementation of sustainable policies. Additionally, regulatory frameworks may be outdated or insufficient to address emerging environmental issues such as climate change or resource depletion.^{21,22} In some cases, industries may lobby against stringent regulations to protect their profits, resulting in weaker enforcement of environmental standards. Moreover, the lack of international coordination and the varying political agendas of different countries can create obstacles to global sustainability efforts. Overcoming these challenges requires strong political commitment, alignment of policies with sustainability goals, and the enforcement of regulations that promote sustainable development while balancing the interests of all stakeholders. Effective governance and global collaboration are critical to addressing these barriers.

C. Public Perception and Resistance to Change

Public perception and resistance to change are significant barriers to sustainability. Many individuals remain unaware of the urgency of environmental issues or underestimate the long-term consequences of unsustainable practices.²³ This

lack of awareness often leads to apathy or resistance to adopting sustainable behaviors. Additionally, people may prioritize immediate convenience or economic benefits over long-term sustainability goals. Cultural norms, misinformation, and concerns about economic disruption can also contribute to resistance to sustainable changes, such as transitioning to renewable energy or embracing new technologies. Industries and governments frequently face challenges in convincing the public to support policies that may initially seem costly or inconvenient. Overcoming these barriers requires education, transparent communication, and efforts to highlight the benefits of sustainability, such as job creation, improved health, and economic resilience. Shifting public perception involves addressing concerns, offering practical solutions, and emphasizing the collective responsibility to protect the environment for future generations.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the collaboration between academia and industry is crucial for shaping a sustainable future. Academia fosters innovation, research, and education, cultivating the right mindset, while industry brings the resources, technologies, and practical expertise required to transform sustainable solutions into reality. By joining forces, these sectors can advocate for policy changes, co-develop advanced green technologies, and implement sustainable practices. To ensure that sustainability becomes a lasting reality—where scientific advancement, environmental stewardship, and social well-being are intertwined—the partnership between academia and industry is indispensable. This facilitative mutualism holds the key to creating a sustainable planet for both society and future generations.

Author Contribution Declaration

We are equally contributed to finalize the editorial.

Data Availability Declaration

There are no new data was created.

Notes

Editorial published as part of the journal's motivation and the the publisher agree with the content.

References

1. A. Chausson, E. A. Welden, M. S. Melanidis, E. Gray, M. Hiron, N. Seddon. Going beyond market-based mechanisms to finance nature-based solutions and foster sustainable futures. *PLOS Clim.* **2023**, *2*, e0000169. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000169>
2. K. F. Mulder. Innovation for sustainable development: from environmental design to transition management. *Sustain Sci.* **2007**, *2*, 253. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-007-0036-7>
3. P. Duran. Universities: Getting ready for the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). United Nations. Academic Impact. <https://www.un.org/en/academic-impact/universities-getting-ready-sdgs>
4. G. H. Brundtland. *Our common future*. Oxford University Press. **1987**
5. S. Giarola, A. Kell, S. Sechi, M. Carboni, A. Dall-Orsoletta, P. Leone, A. Hawkes. Sustainability Education: Capacity Building Using the MUSE Model. *Energies.* **2023**, *16*, 5500. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en16145500>
6. H. V. Weenen. Towards a vision of a sustainable university, *IJSHE*, **2000**, *1*, 20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/1467630010307075>
7. D. Tilbury. Education for sustainable development: An expert review of processes and learning. *UNESCO.* **2011**. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000191442>
8. M. Singer-Brodowski. The potential of transformative learning for sustainability transitions: moving beyond formal learning environments. *Environ Dev Sustain.* **2023**. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02444-x>
9. R. Torelli. Sustainability, responsibility and ethics: different concepts for a single path. *Soc. Responsib. J.* **2021**, *17*, 719. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-03-2020-0081>
10. M. Shahzad, Y. Qu, S. Rehman, A. U. Zafar. Adoption of green innovation technology to accelerate sustainable development among manufacturing industry. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge.* **2022**, *7*, 100231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100231>
11. P. H. Walker, P. S. Seuring, P. J. Sarkis, P. R. Klassen. Sustainable operations management: recent trends and future directions. *International J. Oper. Prod. Manag.*, **2014**, *34*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-12-2013-0557>
12. M. Möslinger, G. Ulpiani, N. Vettors. Circular economy and waste management to empower a climate-neutral urban future. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **2023**, *421*, 138454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.138454>
13. A. McWilliams, D. Siegel. Corporate Social Responsibility: A Theory of the Firm Perspective. *AMR*, **2001**, *26*, 117. <https://doi.org/10.2307/259398>
14. N. Carbonara, R. Pellegrino. The role of public private partnerships in fostering innovation. *CM&E*, **2020**, *38*, 140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2019.1610184>
15. Z. Cheng, H. Wang, W. Xiong, D. Zhu, L. Cheng. Public-private partnership as a driver of sustainable development: toward a conceptual framework of sustainability-oriented PPP. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, **2021**, *23*, 1043. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-019-00576-1>
16. S. M. Alexander, K. Jones, N. J. Bennett, A. Budden, M. Cox, M. Crosas, E. T. Game, J. Geary, R. D. Hardy, J. T. Johnson, S. Karcher, N. Motzer, J. Pittman, H. Randell, J. A. Silva, P. P. Silva, C. Strasser, C. Strawhacker, A. Stuhl, N. Weber. Qualitative data sharing and synthesis for sustainability science. *Nat. Sustain.*, **2020**, *3*, 81. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0434-8>
17. N. Voulvoulis, T. Giakoumis, C. Hunt, V. Kioupi, N. Petrou, I. Souliotis, C. Vaghela, W. W. Rosely. Systems thinking as a paradigm shift for sustainability transformation. *GEC*, **2022**, *75*, 102544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102544>
18. T. Rebs, M. Brandenburg, S. Seuring. System dynamics modeling for sustainable supply chain management: A literature review and systems thinking approach. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **2019**, *208*, 1265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.100>
19. (a) J. M. S. Lira, E. G. Salgado, L. A. Beijo, C. E. S. Da Silva. Shedding light on the diffusion of ISO 14001 across Africa, Asia and Oceania. *J. Clean. Prod.*, **2021**, *289*, 125724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125724>. (b) ISO 45001: 2018-Occupational health and safety management systems— requirements with guidance for use. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland. **2018**. (c) J. Priede. Implementation of Quality Management System ISO 9001 in the World and Its Strategic Necessity. *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, **2012**, *58*, 1466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.1133>
20. C. S. Dutra, U. Rohan, R. Branco, C. K. Chinelli, A. Jose V. B. de Araujo, C. A. P. Soares. Barriers and Challenges to the Sustainability Requirements Implementation in Public Procurement of Engineering Works and Services. *Open J. Civ. Eng.*, **2017**, *7*, 1. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojce.2017.71001>
21. N. Blampied. Economic growth, environmental constraints and convergence: The declining growth premium for developing economies. *Ecol. Econ.*, **2021**, *181*, 106919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2020.106919>
22. D. Misleh, J. Dziurmla, M. De La Garza, E. Guenther. Sustainability against the logics of the state: Political and institutional barriers in the Chilean infrastructure sector. *EIST*, **2024**, *51*, 100842. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2024.100842>
23. R. Lozano. Resistance to Sustainability Change in Organisations and Strategies to Overcome It. In: *Organisational Change Management for Sustainability. Strategies for Sustainability*. Springer, Cham. **2024**, 129. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-59622-3_8